

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/791,634 | 03/02/2004 | Yasunori Azuma | 450100-04961 | 3082 | |
| FROMMER L | 7590 02/06/2008 AWRENCE & HAUG LLP | · | EXAM | INER | |
| 745 Fifth Aven | ue | | SAVLA, ARPAN P | | |
| New York, NY | 10151 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2185 | | |
| | | | | · | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/06/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | Į. | |
|---|--|---|-----------------|--|
| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| | 10/791,634 | AZUMA, YASUNORI | AZUMA, YASUNORI | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Arpan P. Savla | 2185 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | ppears on the cover shee | with the correspondence addre | !SS | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, mand will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to becom | NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 | October 2007. | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | | | erits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (| J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | rawn from consideration. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) □ ac | ccepted or b) objected | to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | · | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit | ents have been received. Ents have been received into the | n Application No een received in this National Sta | age | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Intervi | ew Summary (PTO-413) | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 30, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

This Office action is in response to Applicant's communication filed October 30, 2007 in response to the Office action dated September 19, 2007. Claims 1 and 5 have been amended. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are pending in this application.

REJECTIONS NOT BASED ON PRIOR ART

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. In view of Applicant's amendment, the 112 rejections to <u>claims 1-3 and 5-7</u> have been withdrawn.

REJECTIONS BASED ON PRIOR ART

Application/Control Number: 10/791,634 Page 3

Art Unit: 2185

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-3 and 5-7</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodman et al. (U.S. Patent 6,757,694) (hereinafter "Goodman") in view of Allen et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0161852) (hereinafter "Allen") and in further view of Golasky et al. (U.S. Patent 6,880,101) (hereinafter "Golasky").
- 4. As per claim 1, Goodman discloses a tape library apparatus (col. 2, lines 5-7; Fig. 1) to which a node ID is assigned (col. 2, lines 46-48; Figs. 1 and 5, element 47) and that is connected to a host computer (col. 2, line 33; Fig. 3, element 28), comprising:

a plurality of drives for recording and reproducing data to and from respective large capacity tape recording mediums, the drives having respective interfaces being capable of transferring large capacity data to the host computer (col. 2, lines 7-10, 25-28, and 32-35; Fig. 1, elements 12 and 14; Fig. 3, elements 28 and 29). It should be noted that "reading/read from" is analogous to "reproducing", "data storage media" is analogous "tape recording mediums", and "host system" is analogous to "host computer."

Art Unit: 2185

wherein selected drives are assigned respective port IDs that represent mounted order numbers as second addresses (col. 3, lines 43-44; col. 4, lines 38-39) and the interfaces are activated (col. 2, 25-28 and 32-35; Fig. 3, element 29). It should be noted that "drive position" is analogous to "mounted order number." It should also be noted that it is inherently required the interface be activated in order for the host system to read and write data to and from the tape drives.

wherein the serial number identifiers and base WWN are stored in a nonvolatile memory disposed in corresponding drives (col. 2, lines 62-64; col. 4, lines 53-55).

Goodman does not expressly disclose selected drives are assigned respective node IDs as first addresses;

and wherein an address previously assigned to a particular drive upon production is used when (i) the particular drive is not assigned the first address and the second address and (ii) a command causing the particular drive to be assigned the first address and the second address is not received from the host computer.

Allen discloses selected drives are assigned respective node IDs as first addresses and respective port IDs as second addresses (paragraph 0047, lines 7-8; Fig. 2, elements 255, 260, and 265). It should be noted that "node_name" is analogous to "node ID" and "port_name" is analogous to "port ID."

Goodman and Allen are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that being Fibre Channel systems.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Allen's World Wide Name (WWN), which contains both a

Art Unit: 2185

node ID and port ID, within Goodman's WWN, which is dependent on drive position, so as to store Allen's WWN in Goodman's nonvolatile memory.

The motivation for doing so would have been to gain the benefit of uniquely identifying and tracking devices connected to a Fibre Channel network through a SCSI bridge (Allen, paragraph 0027).

The combination of Goodman/Allen does not expressly disclose wherein an address previously assigned to a particular drive upon production is used when (i) the particular drive is not assigned the first address and the second address and (ii) a command causing the particular drive to be assigned the first address and the second address is not received from the host computer.

Golasky discloses an address previously assigned to a particular drive upon production is used when (i) the particular drive is not assigned the first address and the second address and (ii) a command causing the particular drive to be assigned the first address and the second address is not received from the host computer (col. 5, lines 28-33). It should be noted that "WWN" is analogous to "address that has been assigned to the drive upon production."

The combination of Goodman/Allen and Golasky are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that being Fibre Channel systems.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Golasky's WWN within Goodman/Allen's Fibre Channel system.

Art Unit: 2185

The motivation for doing so would have been to assign Fibre Channel devices with unique global IDs that identify the device's vendor and serial number, thus providing SAN management which includes compartmentalization, authorization, and securitization.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Goodman, Allen, and Golasky for the benefit of obtaining the invention as specified in claim 1.

- 5. As per claim 2, the combination of Goodman/Allen/Golasky discloses when a new drive is mounted on the tape drive apparatus, the newly mounted drive is assigned the first address and the second address in accordance with a command received from the host computer (Goodman, col. 4, lines 39-42; col. 2, lines 28-32). It should be noted that WWN assigned to the new drive is taken to be the combination of Goodman's WWN and Allen's WWN as established in the 35 USC 103 rejection of claim 1 above.
- 6. As per claim 3, the combination of Goodman/Allen/Golasky discloses when the mounted position of each of the selected drives is changed, the moved drive is assigned the first address and the second address in accordance with a command received from the host computer (Goodman, col. 4, line 60 col. 5, line 6; col. 2, lines 28-32). It should be noted that when a drive is moved its position in the library will change. However, since the WWN is based in part on drive position, the moved drive will be assigned a new WWN.
- 7. As per claim 5, Goodman discloses a method of controlling a tape library apparatus to which a node ID is assigned (col. 2, lines 46-48; Figs. 1 and 5, element 47)

Art Unit: 2185

and that is connected to a host computer (col. 2, line 33; Fig. 3, element 28), comprising the steps of:

assigning respective port IDs that represent mounted order numbers as second addresses to a plurality of selected drives (col. 3, lines 43-44; col. 4, lines 38-39) for recording and reproducing data to and from respective large capacity tape recording mediums (col. 2, lines 7-10, 25-28, and 32-35; Fig. 1, elements 12 and 14; Fig. 3, elements 28 and 29), the selected drives having respective interfaces being capable of transferring large capacity data to the host computer (col. 2, 25-28; Fig. 3, element 29),

storing the serial number identifiers and base WWN are stored in a nonvolatile memory disposed in corresponding drives (col. 2, lines 62-64; col. 4, lines 53-55).

activating the interfaces (col. 2, 25-28 and 32-35; Fig. 3, element 29). *Please* see citation notes for claim 1 above.

Goodman does not expressly disclose assigning respective node IDs as first addresses to a plurality of selected drives;

and using an address previously assigned to a particular drive up production when the particular drive is not assigned the first address and the second address and a command causing the particular drive to be assigned the first address and the second address is not received from the host computer.

Allen discloses assigning respective node IDs as first addresses and respective port IDs as second addresses to a plurality of selected drives (paragraph 0047, lines 7-8; Fig. 2, elements 255, 260, and 265). *Please see the citation notes for claim 1 above.*

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Allen's World Wide Name (WWN), which contains both a node ID and port ID, within Goodman's WWN, which is dependent on drive position, so as to store Allen's WWN in Goodman's nonvolatile memory.

The motivation for doing so would have been to gain the benefit of uniquely identifying and tracking devices connected to a Fibre Channel network through a SCSI bridge (Allen, paragraph 0027).

The combination of Goodman/Allen does not expressly using an address previously assigned to a particular drive up production when the particular drive is not assigned the first address and the second address and a command causing the particular drive to be assigned the first address and the second address is not received from the host computer.

Golasky discloses using an address previously assigned to a particular drive up production when the particular drive is not assigned the first address and the second address and a command causing the particular drive to be assigned the first address and the second address is not received from the host computer (col. 5, lines 28-33).

Please see the citation note for claim 1 above.

The combination of Goodman/Allen and Golasky are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, that being Fibre Channel systems.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement Golasky's WWN within Goodman/Allen's Fibre Channel system.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,634 Page 9

Art Unit: 2185

The motivation for doing so would have been to assign Fibre Channel devices with unique global IDs that identify the device's vendor and serial number, thus providing SAN management which includes compartmentalization, authorization, and securitization.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Goodman, Allen, and Golasky for the benefit of obtaining the invention as specified in claim 5.

- 8. As per claim 6, the combination of Goodman/Allen/Golasky discloses when a new drive is mounted on the tape drive apparatus, assigning the newly mounted drive the first address and the second address in accordance with a command received from the host computer (Goodman, col. 4, lines 39-42; col. 2, lines 28-32). Please see the citation note for claim 2 above.
- 9. As per claim 7, the combination of Goodman/Allen/Golasky discloses when the mounted position of each of the selected drives is changed, assigning the moved drive the first address and the second address in accordance with a command received from the host computer (Goodman, col. 4, line 60 col. 5, line 6; col. 2, lines 28-32). *Please see the citation note for claim 3 above.*

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed October 30, 2007 with respect to <u>claims 1-3 and 5-7</u> have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 11. With respect to Applicant's argument in the last full paragraph on page 7 of the communication filed October 30, 2007 the Examiner respectfully disagrees. In the 103

Art Unit: 2185

rejections above, when combining Goodman and Allen, in the manner set for by the Examiner, Allen's WWN (which contains both a node ID and port ID) are stored in Goodman's nonvolatile memory in the same manner that Goodman's number identifiers and base WWN were stored on said nonvolatile memory.

- With respect to Applicant's argument in the first full paragraph on page 8 of the 12. communication filed October 30, 2007 the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Firstly, the Examiner submits that Golasky's unique WWN assigned by the IEEE to the manufacturer upon building of the fibre channel device is indeed equivalent to an address previously assigned to the drive upon production. Secondly, the Examiner notes that the limitation in question above is a negative limitation. Golasky sufficiently discloses an address previously assigned to one of the particular drive upon production (i.e. the unique WWN) is used when the particular drive is not assigned the first address and the second address (which is always the case in Golasky) and a command causing the drive to be assigned the first address and the second address is not received from the host computer (again, which is always the case in Golasky). Accordingly, the previous assignment feature (or more so lack of an assignment feature because of the negative limitation) as well as the command feature (or, again, more so lack of a command feature because of the negative limitation) is sufficiently disclosed by Golasky.
- As for Applicant's arguments with respect to the dependent claims, the 13. arguments rely on the allegation that the independent claims are allowable and therefore for the same reasons the dependent claims are allowable. However, as

Art Unit: 2185

addressed above, the independent claims are not allowable, thus, Applicant's arguments with respect to the dependent claims are not persuasive.

Conclusion

STATUS OF CLAIMS IN THE APPLICATION

The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by MPEP 707.70(i):

CLAIMS REJECTED IN THE APPLICATION

Per the instant office action, <u>claims 1-3 and 5-7</u> have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arpan P. Savla whose telephone number is (571) 272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sanjiv Shah can be reached on (571) 272-4098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,634 Page 12

Art Unit: 2185

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, *ç*all 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arpan Savla Art Unit 2185

January 24, 2008

JANJIV SHAH

PERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TEGHNOLOGY CENTER 2100